

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/781,718

Applicant(s)

FUJIKAMI, JUN

Examiner

Ishwar (I. B.) Patel

Art Unit

2841

**All Participants:**(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.(2) David A. Bluemethal (Reg. 26,257).**Status of Application:** Being allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 August 2006**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.ISHWAR PATEL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the applicant and asked to amend claim 1 to avoid possible objection to claim 1. Also asked to cancel newly added claims 18 and 19 as they were directed to a different invention, a method of manufacturing a superconducting cable. The product claims examined earlier are considered elected by original presentation. Applicant's representative gave authorization to amend claim 1 and cancel claims 18 and 19 by examiner's amendment. .